Serial No.: 10/643,058

In Reply to Office Action dated: August 8, 2006

Page 7 of 9

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the current application, claims 1-20 are pending. Claims 1, 5 and 15 have been

amended as outlined above. For at least the reasons outlined below, this application is in

condition for allowance, and a notice of allowance is respectfully requested.

Rejection pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 1-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112 for failing to comply with the written

description and for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

Applicant regards as his invention. Applicant submits that these rejections are inappropriate.

Further, the amendments above and comments outlined below show how these claims meet the

requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112.

Claims 1-20 were first rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to

comply with the written description. Specifically, this rejection alleged that the "open" channels.

and the "slidable" nature of the massage tool within the chambers were not adequately described.

In response, Applicant directs the Examiner's attention to paragraphs [0019] and [0020]

wherein the construction of the channels is more fully described. Referring to these paragraphs,

along with Figs. 2 and 3, it is clear that the channels are formed by creating seams between two

layers of material. Due to the placement of seams, the channels themselves extend across the

entire back of the garment shown in Fig. 3. The channel of this structure is inherently "open"

along its entire length. Further, any existing massage tool would be slidable, as would be well

understood by those skilled in the art. As such, Applicant respectfully submits that the claims do

meet the requirements of § 112, first paragraph.

- 7 -

Serial No.: 10/643,058

In Reply to Office Action dated: August 8, 2006

Page 8 of 9

Next, claims 1-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, for allegedly

failing to particularly point out and claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the

invention.

For further clarity, Applicant has made certain modifications to claims 1, 5 and 15 to

better specify the nature of the channels involved in the present invention. As discussed above,

paragraphs [0019] and [0020] of the detailed description adequately describe the nature of the

channels, and their construction. Further, the amendments referenced above further clarify the

positioning of the channels as outlined in claim 1, extending across the back of the user.

Applicant submits that the claims listed above, do sufficiently point out and claim Applicant's

invention.

CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, Applicant respectfully asserts that the claimed invention meets the

requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112. Applicant further submits that, for at least the reasons stated

above, all pending claims are allowable over the art of record and respectfully requests that a

Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. If the Examiner believes that a teleconference would

be of value in expediting the allowance of the pending claims, the undersigned can be reached at

the telephone number listed below.

- 8 **-**

Serial No.: 10/643,058

In Reply to Office Action dated: August 8, 2006

Page 9 of 9

If any fees are due in connection with the filing of this paper, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge or credit any such fees or overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-1901 (Reference No. 22330-301).

Respectfully submitted,

By

Craig J. Lervick, Reg. No. 35,244

Customer No. 34205

OPPENHEIMER, WOLFF & DONNELLY LLP

45 South 7th Street, Suite 3300

Minneapolis, MN 55402

Telephone No. (612) 607-7387

Facsimile No. (612) 607-7100